STATE OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE	REQUEST CASE # CENTER # FH #	
In the Matter of the Appeal of		:
NE		DECISION : AFTER FAIR HEARING
from a determination by the Nassau County Department of Social Services		:

JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Section 22 of the New York State Social Services Law (hereinafter Social Services Law) and Part 358 of Title 18 NYCRR, (hereinafter Regulations), a fair hearing was held on March 19, 2004, in Nassau County, before Jonathan M. Kastoff, Administrative Law Judge. The following persons appeared at the hearing:

For the Appellant

NE, Appellant Herb Harris, Representative

For the Social Services Agency

Rita Steinmetz, Fair Hearing Representative J. McLaughlin, Witness

ISSUE

Was the Agency's determination to discontinue the Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamp benefits for failure to appear at a face-to-face recertification interview correct?

FACT FINDING

An opportunity to be heard having been afforded to all interested parties and evidence having been taken and due deliberation having been had, it is hereby found that:

1. The Appellant has been in receipt of Public Assistance and Food Stamp benefits.

2. The Agency notified the Appellant to appear for a face-to-face recertification interview on February 3, 2004.

3. The Appellant did not appear for the recertification interview.

4. The Agency then notified the Appellant to appear for a face-to-face recertification interview rescheduled for February 19, 2004.

 $5. \ \ \, \mbox{The Appellant did not appear for the rescheduled recertification interview.}$

6. By Notice of Intent dated February 21, 2004, the Agency determined to discontinue the Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamp benefits due to the Appellant's failure to appear for the face-to-face recertification interview.

7. On March 5, 2004, the Appellant requested this fair hearing.

APPLICABLE LAW

Regulations at 18 NYCRR 351.20 provide that continuing eligibility for Public Assistance must be established through the process of face-to-face recertification interviews. From time to time recipients of Public Assistance are required personally to appear at the recertification interviews and to present appropriate documentation to demonstrate their continuing eligibility for such assistance.

Section 351.22 of the Regulations provides that if a recipient fails to appear at a scheduled interview without good cause, the Agency must send a notice of proposed discontinuance to the recipient. If the recipient appears at the Agency during the ten day period, an interview must be scheduled. If the recipient is found to be eligible as a result of such interview, the ten day notice of proposed discontinuance must be cancelled and the recipient's Public Assistance must be continued.

An applicant for or recipient of public assistance is exempt from complying with any requirement concerning eligibility for public assistance if the applicant or recipient establishes that good cause exists for failing to comply with the requirement. Except where otherwise specifically set forth in regulations, good cause exists when the applicant or recipient has a physical or mental condition which prevents compliance; the applicant's or recipient's failure to comply is directly attributable to Agency error; or other extenuating circumstances, beyond the control of the applicant or recipient, exist which prevent the applicant or recipient from being reasonably expected to comply with an eligibility requirement. The applicant or recipient is responsible for notifying the Agency of the reasons for failing to comply with an eligibility requirement and for furnishing evidence to support any claim of good cause. The Agency must review the information and evidence provided and make a determination of whether the information and evidence supports a finding of good cause. 18 NYCRR 351.26.

Federal Regulations at 7 CFR 273.14 and Regulations at 18 NYCRR 387.17 provide that the Agency shall deny a reapplication for Food Stamp benefits if the household fails to attend any interview scheduled on or after the deadline for timely filing of the recertification application or to submit all necessary verification within the time frame established by the State.

The Agency must provide the household with a notice of expiration of benefits prior to the first day of the last month of the certification period. The notice must contain the following information:

- (a) the consequences of failure to comply with the expiration notice;
- (b) the date the current certification period ends;

- (c) the date by which the recipient's household must reapply to receive uninterrupted benefits;
- (d) the date of any scheduled interview, and a statement that the recipient is responsible for rescheduling a missed interview;
- (e) the number of days the recipient has for submitting missing verification after the interview or after the recertification form is received by the local social services district if no interview is required;
- (f) the specific regulation upon which the action is based;
- (g) the household's right to request an application for food stamp benefits and the obligation of the local social services district to accept the application, provided that the application is signed and contains a legible name and address;
- (h) the address where the application must be filed;
- (i) the household's right to apply for food stamp benefits by mail or through an authorized representative;
- (j) information that any household consisting only of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) applicants or recipients is entitled to apply for food stamp recertification at any office of the Social Security Administration (SSA); and
- (k) the household's right to a fair hearing.
- (1) the procedure for requesting an agency conference or fair hearing, including an address and telephone number where a request for a fair hearing may be made and the time limits within which the request for a fair hearing must be made;
- (m) the right of the recipient to review the recipient's case record. The notice must contain an address and telephone number where the recipient can obtain additional information about the recipient's case; how to request a fair hearing; access to the case file; and/or obtaining copies of documents;
- (n) the right to representation by legal counsel, a relative, friend or other person or to represent oneself, and the right to bring witnesses to the fair hearing and to question witnesses at the hearing; and
- (o) the right to present written and oral evidence at the hearing;

18 NYCRR 358-2.11

Households which are initially certified for one or two months must receive a notice of expiration at the time of certification and will have fifteen days from the date that the notice of expiration is received to file a timely application for recertification. All other households must submit an application for recertification by the fifteenth day of the last month of certification. 18 NYCRR 387.17(f). The recipient must be interviewed and must submit all verification prior to the end of the final month of the certification period to be entitled to uninterrupted benefits. The Agency must allow the household at least ten calendar days from the interview to submit any additional or missing verification. If the household fails to appear for the interview, fails to file the recertification application prior to the required time period or fails to provide missing verification by the end of the 10-day time period, the Agency must deny the recertification application. 18 NYCRR 387.17(f).

DISCUSSION

The uncontroverted evidence establishes that the Appellant did not report to a scheduled face-to-face recertification interview. The uncontroverted evidence further establishes that the Appellant did not report to a rescheduled face-to-face recertification interview. The Agency's appointment notices were not returned as undeliverable by the postal authorities. Therefore, the Agency's determination to discontinue Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamps was correct when made on February 21, 2003.

However, at the hearing Appellant did not dispute that the appointment notices were sent, but testified that she did not report to any of the scheduled recertification interviews because the Appellant did not receive either of the two notices scheduling the recertification interviews. Appellant testified that she resides in a rooming house, that the mail is delivered through a slot in the door, that the mail is then put on a stair step, and the various tenants then take their mail. Appellant's case worker testified that she spoke to Appellant on or about February 3, 2004 and advised Appellant that a recertification interview would be rescheduled. The worker testified that she did not provide the Appellant with a rescheduled date, but advised Appellant that a notice would be sent to Appellant. Appellant testified that she did not speak to the worker nor did she receive the notice. Appellant's testimony was plausible, consistent with statements made to the Department in requesting this hearing and persuasive. Appellant presented sufficient evidence to establish good cause for Appellant's failure to report to scheduled recertification interview. Therefore, the Agency's determination to discontinue Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamps cannot be sustained at this time.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Agency's determination to discontinue the Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamp benefits for failure to appear at a face-to-face recertification interview was correct when made, but cannot be implemented at this time.

1. The Agency is directed to continue the Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamp benefits unchanged and to restore the Appellant's Public Assistance and Food Stamp benefits retroactive to the date of the discontinuance.

2. The Agency is directed to reschedule a recertification interview with the Appellant.

It is noted that the Appellant must cooperate in the recertification process in order to continue to receive assistance and/or benefits.

Should the Agency need additional information from the Appellant in order to comply with the above directives, it is directed to notify the Appellant promptly in writing as to what documentation is needed. If such information is required, the Appellant must provide it to the Agency promptly to facilitate such compliance.

As required by 18 NYCRR 358-6.4, the Agency must comply immediately with the directives set forth above.

DATED: Albany, New York May 29, 2004

> NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Ву

Commissioner's Designee